Corrigendum to Chapter 8.3 Ex-post Control of Operational Expenditure and Error Rates Identified, paragraphs 5 to 8:

The ECSEL Joint Undertaking published its Annual Activity Report 2018 on 28/06/2019, reporting the error rate based on the maximum EU contribution that every beneficiary is entitled to receive. However, the analysis of the error rate should be based on the actual ECSEL JU funding that the beneficiary receives. Based on this premise, we propose a revised text for the section in chapter 8.3 on the calculation of the error rate in ECSEL Joint Undertaking for the audited population of H2020.

The revised text reads as follows:

“The data on which the CAS based its calculation takes into account the maximum ECSEL JU financial contribution that every beneficiary is entitled to receive. However, in certain cases beneficiaries request a lower amount of EU contribution.

The calculation made by ECSEL JU takes into account the EU contribution paid to beneficiaries in H2020.

The Representative Error Rate for ECSEL JU for H2020 in year 2018 is 2.20% and the Residual Error Rate is 1.15 %, staying below the targeted threshold of 2%.”

Corrigendum to Chapter 11.8 Commitments to the ECSEL JU Programme (“Article 4”), paragraph 2:

Paragraph 2 of Chapter 11.8 should refer to the year 2017 and not 2018 as it is currently written in the published Annual Activity Report 2018.

The revised text should read as follows:

“For year 2017, 19 Participant States declared contributions (in cash) that amount to 79.8M€ which, when added to previous amounts, reach a total of 150.5M€.”

If one considers this effective ECSEL JU financial contribution, the Representative Error Rate amounts to 1.12% and the Residual Error Rate to 0.89%.”

Corrigendum to Chapter 8.3 Ex-post Control of Operational Expenditure and Error Rates Identified, paragraphs 5 to 8:

In fact, the ECSEL JU funding model foresees specific funding rates that are lower than the usual EU contribution.

For example, the ECSEL JU funding rates for 2018 are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CALL 2018</th>
<th>IA</th>
<th>RIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LE</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SME</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The abovementioned text replaces the text below published in the Annual Activity Report 2018 in June, that could be found in chapter 8.3, paragraphs 5 to 8:

“Following the calculation made by DG RTD, the Common Audit Service (CAS), the error rate detected for the audited representative sample of ECSEL JU participants amounts to 1.96%. This calculation by the CAS is based on total participant project costs and not on effective financial contribution from ECSEL JU to the participant.

“Following the calculation made by DG RTD, the Common Audit Service (CAS), the error rate detected for the audited representative sample of ECSEL JU participants amounts to 1.96%. This calculation by the CAS is based on total participant project costs and not on effective financial contribution from ECSEL JU to the participant.”